# Wi-Fi Unleashed: Wi-Fi 7, 6 GHz, and Beyond

Carlos Cordeiro, PhD
Intel Fellow and Wireless CTO (Client Computing Group)
Intel Corporation

June 2022

intel®

Agenda

Background and Introduction

Recap of Wi-Fi 6/6E
- Technical Highlights
- Wi-Fi 6E: Wi-Fi in 6 GHz

Introduction to Wi-Fi 7

Wi-Fi 7 In-Depth Review
- Key PHY Enhancements
- Multi-link Operation
- Enhanced QoS Management

Beyond Wi-Fi 7

Agenda

Background and Introduction

Recap of Wi-Fi 6/6E
- Technical Highlights
- Wi-Fi 6E: Wi-Fi in 6 GHz

Introduction to Wi-Fi 7

Wi-Fi 7 In-Depth Review
- Key PHY Enhancements
- Multi-link Operation
- Enhanced QoS Management

Beyond Wi-Fi 7

The Wireless World in 2030

BLE - Bluetooth low energy
C-V2X - Cellular vehicle to everything
LP-WAN - Low power wide area network
mmWave - Millimeter wave spectrum

PWN - Private wireless networks
SAT - Satellite
UWB - Ultra wideband

SAT

5G/ Beyond 5G

Aerial

PWN

Residential

SOHO

Educational

LP-WAN

Wi-Fi

Healthcare

Hospitality

Enterprise

Transportation

mm-Wave

(Industrial) IoT

UWB

BLE

Automotive

C-V2X

The future is a mix of heterogenous wireless technologies: no one size fits all!

intel

More Than Ever, Wi-Fi Impacts Our Daily Lives!

(Sep 2020) USA Internet Demand Still High

+73%
Average devices active online at home during working hours (vs. Jan-2020)[1]

+40%
Average household broadband usage per month (YOY vs. Sep-2019)[2]

+31%
Peak upstream (videoconferencing) broadband traffic (vs. Mar-2020)[3]

Wi-Fi's Role Is Rapidly Growing Globally

51%
of 2022 IP traffic is from Wi-Fi devices[4]

59%
of 2022 mobile data offloaded to Wi-Fi[4]

USA Internet Connectivity's Importance Will Continue to Increase

+84%
of USA businesses will increase WFH capacity post-pandemic[5]

$186B
($2T) USA CARES Act funding available for telehealth & education remote learning[6]

Wi-Fi Products Leverage the Latest Technologies to Support Evolving User Needs

Wi-Fi 6
2019
3x faster speeds[7]
75% lower latency[8]
4x device capacity[9]

Wi-Fi 6E
2021
Broad gigabit speed enabling
Reduced interference
Improved responsiveness

Wi-Fi 7
~2024
Nearly 5x faster speeds[10]
Ultra-low latency
Enhanced reliability

2020 highlighted Wi-Fi as the primary method for workers, students and families to connect

1 - 10: See slide 80 for a complete sources

# Wi-Fi Market Penetration and Economic Value

Wi-Fi
by the numbers[1]

37.5 billion Cumulative device shipments	**$3.3 trillion** Economic value	**4.2 billion** Annual device shipments
16.4 billion Devices in use		**63%** Mobile traffic offloaded to Wi-Fi
2.2 billion Wi-Fi 6 device shipments	**338 million** Wi-Fi 6E device shipments	**500+ million** Global public access points

Global Value of Wi-Fi[1]

$4.9 trillion
2025

2021
$3.3 trillion

Wi-Fi chipset shipments[2]
Wi-Fi shipments at an all time high

Wi-Fi 6

Wi-Fi 5

Wi-Fi 4

Millions

5,000

4,000

3,000

2,000

1,000

0

2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024

IDC, December 2019

1. Wi-Fi Alliance® and Telecom Advisory Services for Wi-Fi Alliance®, 2021 (https://www.wi-fi.org/discover-wi-fi/value-of-wi-fi)
2. IDC, December 2019

Current Generations of Wi-Fi

Wi-Fi 6

Wi-Fi 5

- Based on IEEE 802.11ax
- 2.4, 5, 6 GHz band operation
- OFDMA, UL and DL MU-MIMO, target wake time (TWT), 1024-QAM
- Best-in-class WPA3 security
- Max data rate: 9.6 Gbps
- Launched Aug. 2019

Wi-Fi 4

- Based on IEEE 802.11ac
- 5 GHz band operation
- Up to 8x8 MIMO, DL MU-MIMO, 80 MHz and 160 MHz channels, 256-QAM
- Max data rate: ~7 Gbps
- Launched Jun. 2013

Wi-Fi 6E
- Extends Wi-Fi 6 with 6 GHz band operation*
- Launched Jan. 2021

- Launched in 2007
- Max data rate: ~600 Mbps
- Up to 4x4 MIMO, 40 MHz channels, 64-QAM
- 2.4 and 5 GHz band operation
- Based on IEEE 802.11n

* 6 GHz operation subject to regulatory rules in each country.

Agenda

Wi-Fi 6: A Giant Leap Forward

4X
Greater Scalability

OFDMA enables managed, reliable, efficient connectivity across more devices. This means plenty of headroom for future growth or fewer APs required to support existing devices.

Reduced Interference

OBSS enhancements help routers and devices identify local traffic and tune out noise from other networks.

Improved Security

Wi-Fi 6 uses new WPA3 security features, enabling next-generation authentication and best-in-class encryption.

DATA Improved Protection

3X
Faster Performance

1024 QAM and support for optional 160 MHz channels enable clients and routers to deliver best-in-class Gigabit speeds for the office or home.

2x2 AC

Wi-Fi 6

Responsive!
75%
Lower Latency

Wi-Fi 6 helps slash lag times to give you the edge you need to win with OFDMA data management and OBSS interference avoidance features.

Wi-Fi 6 (Gig+)

Std. 2x2 AC/80

Increasingly stringent usage (e.g., industrial IoT, AR/VR, robotics, cloud gaming) requirements demand continued evolution

intel.

Wi-Fi 6: Path to a Truly Brilliant Wi-Fi

User experience data rate

Spectrum efficiency

Network energy efficiency

Peak data rate

Key Enhancements:
1024 QAM
UL/DL OFDMA
OBSS Coloring
Target Wait Time
Longer OFDM Symbol

Connection density

Cost

Area traffic capacity

Latency

Primary advancements

- New spectrum in the 6 GHz band
- Increased capacity, data rate
- Improved channel access and scheduling
- Enhanced interference mitigation
- Deterministic connectivity (QoS)
- Flexible power save

Targeted usages

Technical Highlights of Wi-Fi 6

Increase network efficiency by multiplexing users in frequency and space.

UL and DL OFDMA

UL and DL MU-MIMO

Increase link efficiency with a 4x longer OFDM symbol and 1024-QAM.

Improved support for outdoor operation.

Improved performance over a wider range of implementation choices, e.g., large asymmetry in transmit power and number of antennas.

Peak data rate around 10 Gbps; best case latency around 1ms.

OFDMA and MU-MIMO Efficiency Gains

Channels   Receiver

(and vice versa)

$$\text{Capacity} = \text{Channels} \times \text{Bandwidth} \times \text{Log}\,(1 + \text{Signal/Noise})$$

MU-MIMO increases the number of channels

Max bandwidth is unchanged with respect to 11ac

With multiple transmitters the total transmit power is increased

With UL OFDMA there is some cross-user leakage, but it is more than made up for by total power gain

With MU-MIMO cross stream noise increases, but it's inside the log function

Increased Link Efficiency

Frequency domain (~5% gain)

11ac

Increased tone density

11ax

Squeeze more tones in around DC and edge, increased multipath resilience

Time domain (~15% gain)

11ac

| Gi | Data | Gi | Data | Gi | Data | Gi | Data | Gi | Data | ... |

FFT window

4 times

11ax

| Gi | Data | Gi | Data | ... |

Guard interval (GI) overhead reduced

Modulation (~25% gain)

CONSTELLATION DIAGRAMS FOR 16-, 64-, 256-QAM

16-QAM constellation

64-QAM constellation

256-QAM constellation

+ 1024-QAM

Resource Unit (RU) Allocation

0.4-94.1 Mbps — RU 1 — 26-tone RU, NSS = 1.2....,8

0.8-188.2 Mbps — RU 1 | RU 2 — 52-tone RU, NSS = 1.2....,8

1.6-400 Mbps — RU 1 | RU 2 | RU 3 | RU 4 — 106-tone RU, NSS = 1.2....,8

3.6-1004.7 Mbps — **20 MHz** — 242-tone RU and mandatory non-OFDMA 20MHz, NSS = 1.2....,8

7.3-2294.1 Mbps — **40 MHz** — 484-tone RU and mandatory non-OFDMA 40MHz, NSS = 1.2....,8

15.3-4803.9 Mbps — **80 MHz** — 996-tone RU and mandatory non-OFDMA 80MHz, NSS = 1.2....,8

30.6-9607.8 Mbps — **160 MHz** — optional non-OFDMA 160/80+80MHz, NSS = 1.2....,8

Throughput Enhancement

Edge Enhancement

RU Allocation vs. Number of Users*

RU type	20 MHz	40 MHz	80 MHz	160 MHz and 80+80 MHz
26-subcarrier RU	9	18	37	74
52-subcarrier RU	4	8	16	32
106-subcarrier RU	2	4	8	16
242-subcarrier RU	1	2	4	8
484-subcarrier RU	N/A	1	2	4
996-subcarrier RU	N/A	N/A	1	2
2x996 subcarrier RU	N/A	N/A	N/A	1

* This assumes OFDMA. The number of users can be increased by using MU-MIMO.

Improved Outdoor Operation

Operates in higher delay spread channels than 11ac:

11ac GI options: 0.4 µs and 0.8 µs

11ax GI options: 0.8 µs, 1.6 µs and 3.2 µs

GI overhead mitigated with longer (4x) OFDM symbol

Preamble includes repeated L-SIG.

Extended range preamble includes repeated HE-SIG-A.

Dual carrier modulation improves robustness in the Data field.

Triggered UL Channel Access

- Until Wi-Fi 5, UL transmission is initiated by a STA by contending for the channel (AP has limited control of UL channel access).

- In Wi-Fi 6, triggered UL channel access is introduced, where an AP can control and schedule UL data transmissions from STAs.
  - Trigger frame is therefore introduced to allocate resources for and solicit one or more HE TB PPDU transmissions in the UL.
  - Depending on the specific use, different variants of the Trigger frame are defined.

| Trigger frame variant	Primary use of the Trigger frame variant
Basic TF	Solicit HE TB PPDU in the UL for data transmission
Beamforming Report Poll (BFRP)	Solicit beamforming sounding feedback
MU-BAR	Solicit blockacks from multiple STAs
MU-RTS	Allow an AP to initiate a TXOP and protect the TXOP frame exchanges
Buffer Status Report Poll (BSRP)	Solicit buffer status report from STAs
GCR MU-BAR	Solicit Block Acks from multiple STAs in a GCR group
Bandwidth Query Report Poll (BQRP)	Solicit bandwidth query reports from STAs to assist an AP in allocating DL MU and UL MU resources
NDP Feedback Report Poll (NFRP)	Allow an HE AP to collect feedback that is not channel sounding from multiple STAs

Data Exchange Sequences: Multi-user Downlink

- In 11ac, DL MU sequence acknowledgements are serialized.
- In 11ax, DL MU sequence acknowledgements are allocated UL resources and transmitted simultaneously.

Data Exchange Sequences: Multi-user Uplink

- In 11ac UL sequence, STAs compete for medium access and send sequentially.
- In 11ax UL sequence, the AP triggers simultaneous transmissions in multiple STAs.

Power Saving: Target Wake Time (TWT)

- TWT is designed to minimize the contention among STAs and save power.

- Allows a TWT requesting STA to negotiate with a peer TWT responding STA (e.g., AP) for the requesting STA to wake up periodically during a TWT Service Period (SP).

- The TWT requesting STA can doze any time except during the TWT SP intervals.

- Two types of TWT: individual TWT (negotiated) and broadcast TWT (distributed in Beacon).

Beamforming Sounding

AP	11ac NDPA	NDP	Beamforming Report Poll

AP	Beamforming Report Poll	Beamforming Report Poll	Beamforming Report Poll

STA 1 — 11ac Compressed Beamforming

STA 2 — 11ac Compressed Beamforming

STA 3 — 11ac Compressed Beamforming

STA 4 — 11ac Compressed Beamforming

AP — 11ax NDPA | NDP | TF | TF

STA 1 — 11ax Compressed Beamforming | 11ax Compressed Beamforming

STA 2 — 11ax Compressed Beamforming | 11ax Compressed Beamforming

STA 3 — 11ax Compressed Beamforming | 11ax Compressed Beamforming

STA 4 — 11ax Compressed Beamforming | 11ax Compressed Beamforming

Wi-Fi 6 Single User Throughput – Intel Lab

Chart: Tx/Rx Average Throughput (Mbps)

- **Station 10** (3m, 0 walls): Intel Wi-Fi 6 AX200 = 1521, Intel Wireless-AC 8265 = 588 — Nearly 3x faster
- **Station 42** (26m, 2 walls): Intel Wi-Fi 6 AX200 = 1163, Intel Wireless-AC 8265 = 571 — > 1Gbps throughput at over 25m distance
- **Station 48** (49m, 5 walls): Intel Wi-Fi 6 AX200 = 638, Intel Wireless-AC 8265 = 354
- **Station 50** (68m, 8 walls): Intel Wi-Fi 6 AX200 = 432, Intel Wireless-AC 8265 = 220 — ~2x faster at range NLOS

Asus RT-AX88U Router

Legend: ■ Intel Wi-Fi 6 AX200   ■ Intel Wireless-AC 8265

Wi-Fi 6 provides substantial performance improvement at all ranges

Single User Average Throughput: Platform: Dell Latitude 5491; Client Intel Wi-Fi 6 AX200, SW 21.0.0.4; OS: Windows 10; AP: Asus AX88U (BRCM) FW3.0.0.4.384_5640

Wi-Fi 6 Multi-User Throughput – Intel Lab

Aggregate Throughput (Mbps)

	4 Users	8 Users	12 Users
Wi-Fi 6 w/ OFDMA	1629	1503	1409
802.11AC	547	528	473

~3x faster (4 Users)
~3x faster (8 Users)
~3x faster (12 Users)

■ Wi-Fi 6 w/ OFDMA   ■ 802.11AC

Asus RT-AX88U Router

As implementations mature, >4X capacity improvement for multi-user use cases can be expected

Single User Average Throughput: Platform: Dell Latitude 5491; Client Intel Wi-Fi 6 AX200, SW 21.0.0.4; OS: Windows 10; AP: Asus AX88U (BRCM) FW3.0.0.4.384_5640

Wi-Fi 6 Latency & Jitter – Intel Lab

Latency*

Intel Wi-Fi 6 AX200

>75% reduction

Intel Wireless-AC 8265

0   10   20   30   40   50   60
(ms)

Jitter*

Intel Wi-Fi 6 AX200

>50% reduction

Intel Wireless-AC 8265

0   1   2   3   4   5   6
(ms)

Lower latency improves real-time applications like VoIP and gaming
Lower jitter improves the reliability of the network packets, reducing BER

* Without optimization. With optimization (e.g., for TSN), figures can be reduced by more than 5 times

Agenda

Background and Introduction

Recap of Wi-Fi 6/6E
- Technical Highlights
- Wi-Fi 6E: Wi-Fi in 6 GHz

Introduction to Wi-Fi 7

Wi-Fi 7 In-Depth Review
- Key PHY Enhancements
- Multi-link Operation
- Enhanced QoS Management

Beyond Wi-Fi 7

Evolution in Demand: Increase in Connected Device Density

Expansion of Wi-Fi Devices & Usages:

Is creating congested networks with longer wait times, frequent buffering & glitchy applications

New usages

- High-speed computing
- Cloud storage & backup
- Video calling
- 4K streaming
- Online gaming

Intel Wi-Fi 6 (Launched in 19') provides:

- ~3x faster throughput
- ~4x network capacity
- ~75% lower latency

Wi-Fi 6 limited by spectrum & legacy devices

Wi-Fi 6E adds needed Spectrum to support the Wi-Fi 6 promise

802.11b — 1999
802.11g — 2003
Wi-Fi 4 (802.11n) — 2007
Wi-Fi 5 (802.11ac) — 2013
Wi-Fi 6 (802.11ax) — 2019
Wi-Fi 6E (802.11ax) — 2021

## Wi-Fi 6E: Meeting the evolving demands of the Wi-Fi network

Introducing: Wi-Fi 6E

6 GHz benefits are not for all Wi-Fi 6 devices
（Wi-Fi 6E = Device differentiation & backwards compatibility）

Wi-Fi 6
2.4 GHz + 5 GHz

6

6E*

6

6

6E

6E*

With Wi-Fi 6E, the amount of spectrum used by Wi-Fi is almost 3X more

Wi-Fi 6E
2.4 GHz + 5 GHz + 6GHz

Comparing Wi-Fi Spectrum

2.4 GHz — 83.5 MHz of Spectrum
2400 MHz 2483.5 MHz

5 GHz — 580 MHz of Spectrum
5150 MHz 5850 MHz

6 GHz — 1200 MHz of Spectrum
5925 MHz 7125 MHz

Wi-Fi 6E: new spectrum brings the Wi-Fi 6 promise to reality, with compatibility to legacy Wi-Fi

* Not actual WFA Wi-Fi 6E logo

Wi-Fi 6E: Highlights

6 GHz Features

Huge contiguous clean spectrum
- 1200 MHz vs. 480 MHz (>2X)

Many more Gigabit Wi-Fi options
- (7) vs. (2) 160 MHz channels

Exclusive to new Wi-Fi 6 products
- No legacy devices (Wi-Fi 4 or 5)

Comparing Wi-Fi Spectrum

2.4 GHz		83.5 MHz of Spectrum
	2400 MHz 2483.5 MHz	
5 GHz		580 MHz of Spectrum
	5150 MHz 5850 MHz	
6 GHz		1200 MHz of Spectrum
	5925 MHz 7125 MHz	

1) Cisco VNI Global IP Traffic Forecast 2017-22

6 GHz Benefits

Greater network flexibility
- Ideal for dense environments

Fast downloads/sharing/backups
- Gigabit speed = new normal

Reduced latency + improved reliability
- No waiting on older/slower devices

WI-FI CONGESTION

Why Is It Important?

1st new Wi-Fi spectrum in 17 years
- New 5 GHz DFS channels in 2003

**~70% IP traffic on Wi-Fi by 2022[1]**
- Billions of devices already on 5 GHz

Delivers the max benefits of Wi-Fi 6
- Homogeneous "new" device networks

Wi-Fi 6E

6 GHz Worldwide Regulatory Status

Adopted 5925-6425 MHz

Adopted 5925-7125 MHz

Considering 5925-6425 MHz

Considering 5925-7125 MHz

Adopted 5925-6425 MHz, Considering 6425-7125 MHz

- The 48 CEPT countries individually implement the European Commission's final decision to open spectrum for unlicensed. Some will proceed by consultations, while others will adopt the decision by an administrative change.

- The 27 European Union Member States, all of which are also CEPT members, will implement the European Commission's final decision to open spectrum for unlicensed on or before December 1st, 2021.

- African Telecommunications Union (ATU) recommended regulation close to that CEPT. African countries started adoption or considering.

Source: wi-fi.org/countries-enabling-wi-fi-6e

Equipment Classes for Unlicensed 6 GHz

Class	Power	Access Requirements
Indoor Low Power AP**	5 dBm/MHz	Indoor Use Only
Standard Power AP	36 dBm	AFC
Portable Client Device	6 dB below AP (= -1 dBm/MHz)	Under Control of Access Point
Client to Client Communications	-1 dBm/MHz*	Indoor Use Only; in Range of AP*
Very Low Power Portable AP*	14 dBm EIRP*	Very Low Power*

Class	Power	Access Requirements
Indoor Low Power AP	23 dBm	Indoor Use Only
Outdoor Standard Power AP	TBD	Registration/Light License on National Basis
Portable Client Device	23 dBm	Under Control of Access Point
Very Low Power Portable AP	14 dBm	Very Low Power

* Seeking authorization under Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FNPRM)
** US LPI Rules based on 5 dBm/MHz PSD so that the larger the bandwidth, the greater the total power. FCC is now evaluating whether to increase LPI PSD to 8 dBm/MHz and 33 dBm total power for 320 MHz channel.

Device Classes Proposed or Adopted

	LPI	Standard Power	VLP
US	🟢	🟢	🟡
Brazil	🟢		🟢
Canada	🟢	🟢	🟢
Mexico	🟡	🟡	🟡
Argentina	🟡		
Chile	🟢		
Colombia	🟡		🟡
Peru	🟢		
Honduras	🟢		
Costa Rica	🟢		🟢
Guatemala	🟢		

	LPI	Standard Power	VLP
CEPT/EU	🟢		🟢
UK	🟢		🟢
UAE	🟢		
Saudi Arabia	🟢	🟡	🟢
Jordan	🟡		🟡
Oman	🟡	🟡	🟡
Qatar	🟡		🟡
Egypt	🟡		🟡
ATU	🟡	🟡	🟡
Morocco	🟢	🟡	🟢
Tunisia	🟡	🟡	🟡

	LPI	Standard Power	VLP
South Korea	🟢	🟡	🟢
Japan			
India			
Australia	🟡	🟡	🟡
Singapore/Indonesia			
Hong Kong			
Taiwan			
Thailand	🟡		
New Zealand	🟡	🟡	🟡
Malaysia	🟡	🟡	🟡
Tunisia	🟡	🟡	🟡

*Low Power Indoor (LPI), Very Low Power (VLP, Indoor/outdoor), Standard Power (Indoor/Outdoor)

intel

Agenda

Background and Introduction

Recap of Wi-Fi 6/6E
- Technical Highlights
- Wi-Fi 6E: Wi-Fi in 6 GHz

Introduction to Wi-Fi 7

Wi-Fi 7 In-Depth Review
- Key PHY Enhancements
- Multi-link Operation
- Enhanced QoS Management

Beyond Wi-Fi 7

intel.

New Experiences Demand Continuous Improvement

Traditional Network

Compute

Analytics

Storage

Network ⟷ Things
(((•)))
(((•)))
(((•)))

→ Distributed Intelligence (Multi-Cloud)

Intelligent Network

Data Center / Cloud — Compute Storage Analytics ⟷ Network Core — Compute Storage Analytics ⟷ RAN/Edge — Compute Storage Analytics ⟷ Things — Compute Storage Analytics

---

New experiences (e.g., industrial IoT, 3D/XR content, real-time collaboration) demand more responsive connectivity

Compute shifting closer to the user, thereby redefining end-to-end (E2E) network performance (e.g., low, single-digit and sub-1ms latency become broadly available)

E2E latency contribution by each network segment

Latency (ms)

120 · 100 · 80 · 60 · 40 · 20 · 0

Cloud · NW core · NW edge · On-prem edge

■ Wireless Network   ■ Network Backhaul   ■ Cloud Network

High performance wireless access is essential to meet growing demand

Latency Chart Source: Intel

Up Next: Wi-Fi 7
Based on IEEE P802.11be

P802.11be project goals*

- Amendment to 802.11, building on 11ax
- Maximum throughput of at least 30 Gbps
- Frequency range: 2.4, 5, 6 GHz
- Improvements to worst-case latency & jitter

Targeted usages

Target timeline

| July 2018 | May 2019 | 5 years | May 2024 |

| EHT SG | P802.11be |

| Spec. framework document | | D0.1–D1.0 | D1.0–D2.0 | D2.0–D5.0 | D5.0–Pub |

| May 2019 | 14 mo | Sept 2020 | Nov 2020 | 7 mo | May 2021 | 12 mo | May 2022 | 1.5 years | Nov 2023 | 7 mo | May 2024 |

* http://www.ieee802.org/11/PARs/P802_11be_PAR_Detail.pdf

Wi-Fi 7 : ~3.6x Faster[1] than Wi-Fi 6

Based on IEEE P802.11be[2]

User Experience Data Rate

Spectrum Efficiency

Network Energy Efficiency

Connection Density

Key Enhancements

320 MHz channels
4096-QAM
Multi-RU (puncturing)

Multi-link operation
Deterministic low latency
P2P operation

Peak Data Rate

Cost Effective

Area Capacity

Low Latency

[1] Includes PHY and multi-link data rate improvements
[2] http://www.ieee802.org/11/PARs/P802_11be_PAR_Detail.pdf; Accurate as of June/2022. Feature set and their specification are subject to change.

Agenda

Background and Introduction

Recap of Wi-Fi 6/6E
- Technical Highlights
- Wi-Fi 6E: Wi-Fi in 6 GHz

Introduction to Wi-Fi 7

Wi-Fi 7 In-Depth Review
- Key PHY Enhancements
- Multi-link Operation
- Enhanced QoS Management

Beyond Wi-Fi 7

Wi-Fi 7 PHY Basics

Extends the Wi-Fi 6 (802.11ax) PHY:

OFDMA based

DL/UL MU-MIMO

Four types of PPDUs:

Single user (SU) PPDU

Multi-user (MU) PPDU

Trigger-based (TB) PPDU

Extended range (ER) SU PPDU

Key PHY Enhancements for Wi-Fi 7

- Forward compatible preamble design: U-SIG

- Wider bandwidth: 320 MHz support

- Higher peak rate: 4096-QAM support and 16 spatial streams

- Enhanced resource allocation: Multiple resource units (RUs) allocation

	Wi-Fi 6	Wi-Fi 7
Max channel bandwidth	160 MHz	320 MHz
Highest modulation order	1024-QAM	4096-QAM
Max no. of spatial streams	8	8
Max data rate	~9.6 Gbps	~23 Gbps
Resource allocation	Single RU	Multi-RU

Wi-Fi 7 vs. Wi-Fi 6 Data Rate Comparison

Legend: ■ 80 MHz   ■ 160 MHz   ■ 320 MHz

Y-axis: Data rate (Mbps)
X-axis: QAM order (Number of spatial streams): 256 (1), 256 (2), 1024 (1), 1024 (2), 4096 (1), 4096 (2)

Wi-Fi 6&7   Wi-Fi 7 only

Preamble Design

- Historically, the design of IEEE 802.11 preambles has only focused on backward compatibility.
  - Each Wi-Fi version includes a legacy non-HT SIGNAL (L-SIG) for coexistence.
  - Each Wi-Fi version introduces new auto-detection markers, which keeps complicating the auto-detection scheme.

- Wi-Fi 7 introduces a new universal SIGNAL field (U-SIG) to address the auto-detection and ensure forward compatibility.
  - U-SIG consists of version-independent fields, such as BW, UL/DL, TXOP duration, etc.
  - U-SIG consists of a PHY version identifier starting with EHT, which simplifies the autodetection.
  - U-SIG has the size of two symbols and will be consistent in location.

Exemplary 40 MHz packet:

EHT preamble

320 MHz Support

- Wi-Fi 7 supports up to 320 MHz bandwidth, as opposed to 160 MHz in Wi-Fi 6/6E.
  - Both 320 MHz and 160 + 160 MHz modes are supported.
  - Tone plan for 320 MHz uses duplicated 160 MHz tone plan based on Wi-Fi 6.

4096-QAM

- The highest-order modulation of Wi-Fi 6 is 1024-QAM, whereas Wi-Fi 7 supports 4096-QAM.

  - Beamforming is essential in making the use of 4096 QAM feasible.

  - MCS 12-13: two new MCS index corresponding to 4096-QAM.

  - Tx EVM requirement is -38db to achieve a good balance between Tx power and distortion.

4096 QAM BER (N_STS=1, N_RX=1)

Legend:
- no BF
- BF, N_TX=4
- BF, N_TX=8
- BF, N_TX=16

Y-axis: BER
X-axis: SNR (dB)

Multi-RU Support

- Resource unit (RU): a group of subcarriers as an allocation for transmission.

- In Wi-Fi 6, each non-AP STA can only be assigned with a single RU.

- While this constraint simplifies the allocation scheme, it brings several limitations.
  - Degrades the spectral efficiency when number of users is small.
  - Wastes bandwidth in preamble puncturing scenarios, where the only primary 20 MHz can be used if radar detected in the secondary 20 MHz.
  - Fails to exploit the frequency diversity.

- Wi-Fi 7 introduces Multi-RU support by allowing the allocation of multiple RUs to a single STA.
  - Allowed Multi-RU combinations for different BWs are defined.

Example: Allowed 996+484-tone MRUs in non-OFDMA 160 MHz EHT PPDU

Agenda

Background and Introduction

Recap of Wi-Fi 6/6E
- Technical Highlights
- Wi-Fi 6E: Wi-Fi in 6 GHz

Introduction to Wi-Fi 7

Wi-Fi 7 In-Depth Review
- Key PHY Enhancements
- Multi-link Operation
- Enhanced QoS Management

Beyond Wi-Fi 7

Multi-link Operation in Wi-Fi 7

- Existing Wi-Fi devices already support operating in different links.
    - A link is mapped to a specific channel in a specific band.
    - However, currently these links are operating independently without any coordination.
- Wi-Fi 7 introduces a unified framework to manage multiple links in a way that allows an optimized use of resources across multiple links.
    - **Throughput boost:** Aggregating multiple links achieves additive throughput for data flows split over links.
    - **Latency improvement:** Use of multiple links in parallel increases the chance of channel access, thus reducing latency.
    - **Increased reliability:** Duplicating critical data packets over multiple links significantly increases reliability.
    - **Traffic separation/differentiation:** Assigning data flows to different links based on the application needs achieves traffic separation and/or differentiation.

intel.

Benefits of Multi-link Operation

Throughput boost
Aggregating multiple links for data transmission

Latency improvement
Load balancing to mitigate congestion on one link

Increased reliability
Duplicating critical packets on multiple links

Traffic separation/differentiation
Separating different traffic flows to different links

Multi-link Framework

- Multi-link device (MLD): A device that is a logical entity and has more than one affiliated STA and has a single medium access control service access point to logical link control, which includes one MAC data service.

  - AP MLD: Every affiliated STA is an AP.

  - Non-AP MLD: Every affiliated STA is a non-AP STA.

  - An MLD does not necessarily have multiple radios.

    - Wi-Fi 7 supports the single link/radio non-AP MLD that supports operation on more than one link but receives or transmits frames only on one link at a time.

Distribution System (DS)

Distribution System Medium (DSM)

AP Multi-link Device

| AP1 | AP2 | AP3 |

Channel X1, Band Y1 — Link 1
Channel X2, Band Y2 — Link 2
Channel X3, Band Y3 — Link 3

| Non-AP STA1 | Non-AP STA2 | Non-AP STA3 |

Non-AP Multi-link device

Types of MLO

MLO Type	Number of Radios	Characteristics
Multi-link single radio (MLSR)	1	TX/RX over one link at a time.
Enhanced multi-link single radio (EMLSR)	1	MLSR with additional capability to listen to two links simultaneously.
Nonsimultaneous transmit and receive multi-link multi-radio (NSTR MLMR)	>=2	Simultaneous TX/TX, RX/RX over multiple links.
Simultaneous transmit and receive multi-link multi-radio (STR MLMR)	>=2	Simultaneous TX/TX, RX/RX, and TX/RX over multiple links.
Enhanced multi-link multi-radio (EMLMR)	>=2	MLMR with additional capability to dynamically reconfigure spatial multiplexing capability on each link.

Complexity & performance

80MHz, 2x2, MCS4, RTS on

- multi-link single radio
- single-link single radio
- multi-link multi radio (concurrent)

Tput (Mbps) vs OBSS load (%)

Types of MLO: MLSR and EMLSR

- Enhanced MLSR operation
  - Single radio non-AP MLD listens to two or more channels simultaneously
    - Can therefore track the channel availability on two channels
  - 2x2 TX/RX module may be configured to 1x1 on each channel/band to listen to incoming packets on each channel
  - Data transmission happens on one link at a time
- Benefits
 - Can achieve most of benefits provided by dual-radio non-AP MLD on a single radio non-AP MLD.

Multi-link Single Radio (MLSR) Operation

Enhanced Multi-link Single Radio (EMLSR) Operation

Types of MLO: EMLMR

- Enhanced MLMR operation
  - Extends TX/RX chain switch across links for a single radio STA MLD to multi-radio STA MLD
  - R1 RX chains + T1 TX chains on link 1
    and
    R2 RX chains + T2 TX chains on link 2
 - Is able to support (R1 + R2) RX chains and (T1 + T2) TX chains on either link 1 or link 2

RX chain switching for Enhanced Multi-link Multi-Radio (EMLMR) Operation

TX chain switching for Enhanced Multi-link Multi-Radio (EMLMR) Operation

Types of MLO: NSTR MLMR and STR MLMR

- NSTR and STR MLMR
  - Due to in-device coexistence interference, simultaneous transmit and receive over multiple links may not always work.
    - For example, when an MLD transmits on 5 GHz band and receives on 6 GHz band in parallel.
  - In recognition of such constraints, NSTR and STR MLMR are defined.
  - Channel access schemes will be adapted based on NSTR or STR modes.

Nonsimultaneous Multi-link Multi-Radio (NSTR MLMR) Operation

AP MLD Dual Radio — Radio 1 Channel 1, Radio 2 Channel 2

Non-AP MLD Single Radio — Radio 1 Channel 1, Radio 1 Channel 2

AP MLD Dual Radio — Radio 1 Channel 1, Radio 2 Channel 2

NO!

Non-AP MLD Single Radio — Radio 1 Channel 1, Radio 1 Channel 2

Simultaneous Multi-link Multi-Radio (STR MLMR) Operation

AP MLD Dual Radio — Radio 1 Channel 1, Radio 2 Channel 2

Non-AP MLD Single Radio — Radio 1 Channel 1, Radio 1 Channel 2

AP MLD Dual Radio — Radio 1 Channel 1, Radio 2 Channel 2

YES!

Non-AP MLD Single Radio — Radio 1 Channel 1, Radio 1 Channel 2

Multi-link Discovery

- Discovery refers to the process where a non-AP STA discovers an AP in the environment and requests information from the AP to determine whether to associate with it.
  - Passive scanning: Listen for Beacon or unsolicited Probe Response
  - Active scanning: Transmit a Probe Request that solicits a Probe Response from an AP MLD.

- Multi-link discovery extends the process to MLD.
  - Difference is that now a non-AP MLD needs to obtain information corresponding to multiple APs in multiple links.

- With multiple links, a non-AP MLD can always perform passive or active scanning in each channel separately and obtain the needed information.
  - Time-consuming process to repeat scanning multiple times.
  - Several mechanisms are defined to simplify the process.

Figure source: https://www.intuitibits.com/2017/08/11/understanding-scan-modes-wifiexplorerpro/

Basic Information vs. Complete Information

- Basic information: only a subset of most fundamental information that describes a reported AP in a specific link
  - E.g., Operating Class/Channel, BSSID, Short SSID, BSS parameters.

- Discovery for basic information of MLD
  - Each AP affiliated with an AP MLD includes a Reduced Neighbor Report (RNR) element in its transmitted Beacon or Probe Response frames, which consists of basic information of all other APs in the same AP MLD.

- Complete information: all the information elements and parameters related to a reported AP in a specific link
  - I.e., all the information that would be included in Beacon/Probe Response transmitted in that link.

- Discovery for complete information of MLD
  - A new variant Probe Request frame, ML Probe Request, is defined for a non-AP MLD to request the complete information of all APs in an AP MLD in one shot in one link.
  - Once received, any AP affiliated with an AP MLD responds with an ML Probe Response frame carrying a Multi-Link element, including complete information of all APs in an AP MLD

Illustrations of MLD Discovery

**Beacon or Probe Response transmitted
by AP_1 in 2.4 GHz link**

**ML Probe Response transmitted
by AP_1 in 2.4 GHz link**

AP Multi-link
Device

Basic information of AP2 and AP3 in RNR
Complete information of AP1

Non-AP Multi-
link Device

AP Multi-link
Device

Complete information of AP2 and AP3 in Multi-link element
Complete information of AP1

Non-AP Multi-
link Device

AP1 2.4 GHz

STA₁ 2.4 GHz

AP1 2.4 GHz

STA1 2.4 GHz

Request for complete Information for AP MLD

AP₂ 5 GHz

STA₂ 5 GHz

AP₂ 5 GHz

STA₂ 5 GHz

**ML Probe Request transmitted
by STA1 in 2.4 GHz link**

AP3 6 GHz

STA₃ 6 GHz

AP₃ 6 GHz

STA₃ 6 GHz

MLD Discovery---Basic Information

MLD Discovery---Complete Information

Multi-link Setup

- After a non-AP MLD discovers an AP MLD, the next step is to perform association and authentication.

- Existing association process only allows to set up one link where association is done.
  - I.e., the setup of 3 links will require 3 associations.

- Multi-link setup is introduced to enable capabilities exchange and setup procedures for multiple links with only one execution in one link.

- Existing (Re)Association Request and (Re)Association Response frame are reused for Multi-link setup by including a new Multi-link element.
  - The Multi-link element includes a Common Info field that carries MLD level information common to all STAs, and one or more STA profile sub-element describing the complete information of each corresponding STA operating in one link.

Multi-link Security

- The 4-way handshake to establish pairwise and group keys is extended to cover Multi-link setup.
  - A pairwise master key (PMK) is established, and a pairwise transient key (PTK) is derived through a 4-way handshake between the non-AP MLD and the AP MLD.
  - The PMK, PTK, and the same packet number (PN) space are used for all the setup links for the pairwise transient key security association (PTKSA).
  - In contrast, different links use different group master key (GTK), integrity group temporal key (IGTK), and beacon integrity group temporal key (BIGTK) and each link has its own PN space.

Establishing pairwise and group keys

Multi-link Channel Access

Fundamental rule: Independent channel access in each link.

EMLSR

- Frame (e.g., MU-RTS) is sent on one link to configure the antenna and activate the link where data communication will take place.

STR

- No restrictions. Each link can transmit and receive independently irrespective of the operation in other links.

NSTR:

- End PPDU alignment to avoid simultaneous TX/RX over a pair of links.

Multi-link channel access:
EMLSR operation

Multi-link channel access:
STR operation

Multi-link channel access:
NSTR operation

Difference of end times of simultaneously transmitted PPDUs needs to be within a threshold to avoid simultaneous TX/RX over two links

Other Primary MLD Functions

- Block ack (BA) agreement and traffic indication
  - Legacy BA agreement is established on a single link.
  - MLD block ack agreement applies to all links.
  - Receive status of QoS Data frames may be signaled on other links.
  - Traffic indication map (TIM) element indicates buffered data for the whole MLD.

- TID-to-link mapping
  - Default mode: All TIDs are mapped to all links.
  - Optional mode: Map a subset of TIDs to a specific set of links.
    - Beneficial to achieve traffic separation and prioritization.
  - A link is enabled if at least one TID is mapped to it.

AP Multi-link Device

TIM element

- AP1 2.4 GHz
- AP2 5 GHz
- AP3 6 GHz

Beacon transmitted by AP1 in 2.4 GHz link

Non-AP Multi-link Device

- STA1 2.4 GHz
- STA2 5 GHz
- STA3 6 GHz

AP Multi-link Device

- AP1 2.4 GHz
- AP2 5 GHz
- AP3 6 GHz

TID 0-6

TID 7

No TIDs mapped. Disabled.

Non-AP Multi-link Device

- STA1 2.4 GHz
- STA2 5 GHz
- STA3 6 GHz

Agenda

Background and Introduction

Recap of Wi-Fi 6/6E
- Technical Highlights
- Wi-Fi 6E: Wi-Fi in 6 GHz

Introduction to Wi-Fi 7

Wi-Fi 7 In-Depth Review
- Key PHY Enhancements
- Multi-link Operation
- Enhanced QoS Management

Beyond Wi-Fi 7

Enhanced QoS Management

- **Wi-Fi 6 can achieve single-digit millisecond** latency, but the worst-case latency can be high in congested environments.

- With the introduction of features like multi-link operation and 320 MHz channels in Wi-Fi 7, latency will be reduced even further.

- However, to provide enhanced QoS management, for example, deterministic low latency required by some usages (e.g., industrial IoT, AR/VR), new schemes need to be defined.

Wi-Fi 7 features for QoS enhancement

- Define QoS provisioning model with dedicated, deterministic, low-latency (LL) and reliable access category

- Enhanced channel access
  - Restricted service periods
  - National security and emergency preparedness (NSEP) priority service
 - Triggered peer-to-peer transmission

Framework for Enhanced QoS Management

EHT QoS AP MLD

EHT QoS signaling
← QoS request
→ QoS response

EHT restricted SPs setup
→ AP advertises restricted SPs

Transmission within restricted SPs
EHT APs and STAs supporting and participating in the restricted SPs follow the channel access rules

EHT STA
(e.g., Gaming, AR/VR, Robotics...)

Traffic requirements:
SI= 10 msec
Latency bound = 2 msec
PDR = 99.9%

Low latency traffic is protected

1 ms

1 ms

Restricted SP

Restricted SP

10 ms

Latency-sensitive packets

AP

Latency-sensitive packets

STA

QoS Signaling

- In order to serve and prioritize QoS traffic, the first step is to identify and signal the traffic characteristics.
  - Used to differentiate low latency traffic from regular traffic.
  - Providing traffic pattern and QoS requirements to request corresponding service.
- Wi-Fi 7 will define a new information element to carry relevant parameters and a protocol at the MLD level to enable QoS signaling.

STA   AP   Network Controller

Network Policy/ condition

QoS Req

QoS Resp

Traffic from/to the application with the setup QoS

Network Policy/ condition/ policing

QoS Resp (modify)

Traffic from/to the application with modified QoS/scheduling

Restricted Service Periods

- Wi-Fi 7 introduces restricted service periods (SPs) such that:
  - Any EHT non-AP STA that supports this feature shall end its TXOP before the start of the restricted SPs.
  - An EHT AP may announce quiet intervals during which non-AP STAs shall not access the medium.
  - EHT non-AP STAs that are members of restricted SPs are allowed to ignore the quiet intervals if they overlap with the restricted SP.

NSEP Priority Service

- The motivation is to provide prioritized access to system resources for authorized users for NSEP priority services in WLAN networks.
  - E.g., General emergency/disaster event, pandemic event, NSEP from IoT devices

- AP and non-AP STA exchange capabilities and verify the authority of non-AP STAs to use NSEP priority access.

- NSEP priority access operates in an on-demand fashion.
  - NSEP priority access is invoked by either a solicited request from the non-AP STA or an unsolicited request from the AP.

Government Emergency Telecommunications Service
Office of Emergency Communications

John Smith
Department of Defense

Dial Access Number: 1-710-627-4387
After Tone, Enter PIN: 1234-5678-9012
When Prompted, Dial: Area Code + Number

LTE WiFi   WPS/NGN Priority Service   LTE WiFi

Public Network
Congestion

Congestion in Wi-Fi network

Congestion

NS/EP Priority Service invoked

Figure source: 11-19-1901-04-00be-priority-access-support-in-ieee-802-11be-what-and-why

Triggered Peer-to-Peer (P2P) Transmission

- Enable direct link transmission between two non-AP STAs scheduled by the AP

- Example use cases
  - Miracast streaming from laptop to monitor
  - VR applications
  - Wireless file transfer from phone to printer

- AP transmits an MU-RTS Trigger frame and allocates a time duration for P2P transmission

TXOP gained by the AP

Intra-TXOP for STA1

AP	MU-RTS for P2P		
STA1		CTS	PPDU to STA2
STA2			BA

Agenda

Background and Introduction

Recap of Wi-Fi 6/6E
- Technical Highlights
- Wi-Fi 6E: Wi-Fi in 6 GHz

Introduction to Wi-Fi 7

Wi-Fi 7 In-Depth Review
- Key PHY Enhancements
- Multi-link Operation
- Enhanced QoS Management

Beyond Wi-Fi 7

Multi-AP Coordination: Motivation

- Existing Wi-Fi technologies have been largely focusing on fully distributed operations among different APs without sophisticated coordination.

- Proprietary mesh Wi-Fi systems are already well accepted in the market.
  - However, most of these solutions are mainly optimizing long-term network configurations, including channel selection, load balancing, network security, etc.

- It is desirable to achieve tighter optimization in the PHY and MAC layer to enhance the performance of Multi-AP systems in various aspects.

- Diversified flavors of Multi-AP techniques can improve the network performance in multiple aspects.

Multi-AP Coordination

AP1   AP2

BSS1   BSS2

Multi-AP Framework

- There is a unified framework to accommodate different flavors of multi-AP coordination, which consists of the following:
  - AP candidate set: a set of APs that can initiate or participate in Multi-AP coordination.
    - Defines the trusted region of Multi-AP coordination in the management domain.
    - Only APs within the same AP candidate set are allowed to perform Multi-AP coordination with each other.
    - The formation of AP candidate set is implementation specific.
  - Sharing AP: The AP that obtains a TXOP and initiates a specific type of Multi-AP coordination in its owned TXOP.
  - Shared AP: The AP that is coordinated for the Multi-AP transmission by the Sharing AP.
    - There may be multiple Shared APs in one coordinated TXOP.

AP candidate set

AP1   AP2

AP3

AP1's TXOP   AP3's TXOP

AP1   Multi-AP Trigger

AP2

AP3   Multi-AP Trigger

Sharing AP: AP1   Sharing AP: AP3
Shared AP: AP2   Shared AP: AP1, AP2

Modes of Multi-AP Coordination (1/2)

- Different flavors of multi-AP solutions are being considered

# Modes of Multi-AP Coordination (2/2)

MAC-driven Multi-AP modes

Frequency

40 MHz channel

Time

Sharing AP

Shared AP

TXOP obtained by the Sharing AP

Coordinated OFDMA
The Sharing AP and Shared AP(s) transmit simultaneously during the same time period, but on different 20 MHz channels.

Frequency

40 MHz channel

Time

Sharing AP

Shared AP

TXOP obtained by the Sharing AP

Coordinated TDMA
The Sharing AP and Shared AP(s) transmit simultaneously on the same channel, but during different time periods.

Frequency

40 MHz channel

Time

Sharing AP

**Shared AP**

TXOP obtained by the Sharing AP

Coordinated Spatial Reuse
The Sharing AP and Shared AP(s) transmit simultaneously on the same channel, but during the same time periods.

PHY-driven Multi-AP modes

AP candidate set

Shared AP

Sharing AP

Coordinated Beamforming
A sharing AP sound channels from their own STAs and from STAs associated with other Shared AP(s) so that it can null the interference caused towards the Shared AP's transmission.

AP candidate set

Shared AP

Sharing AP

Joint Processing
The Sharing AP and Shared AP(s) jointly transmit to the same STA.

OpenRoaming: Anywhere & Any Network Connected Wi-Fi Clients

Problem Statement

Wi-Fi hotspots can be found virtually everywhere, but clients connecting to these hotspots are either disallowed (e.g., lack of credentials) or cumbersome (e.g., captive portal).

Solution

OpenRoaming (OR) is an industry initiative that aims at connecting clients to Wi-Fi networks as seamlessly and pervasively as in cellular.

- Based on Passpoint technology

- Scalable, many-to-many business relationships

OpenRoaming Players

Ecosystem Brokers
- Infra vendors
- Cert. authorities

Identity Providers

Network Providers
- Any Wi-Fi network
- Monetization: soft portal, business deal with ID provider, etc.

End-Users/Devices
- Phones, PCs, IoT, etc.
- Broad OS support

OpenRoaming enables seamless connectivity of Wi-Fi clients across networks

Wi-Fi Sensing

- Wi-Fi sensing exploits channel changes measured by amplitude and phase.
    - Channel varies as changes in the environment alter the paths.
    - ML/signal processing can infer the cause (e.g., human presence, activity).
    - Single device or multi-device sensing possible.
- IEEE 802.11 established a new task group IEEE 802.11bf on Wi-Fi sensing.
- Applies to 2.4/5/6/60 GHz.

Amplitude, static environment (no motion)

Amplitude, dynamic environment (motion)

Applications of Wi-Fi Sensing

Enterprise

Security, environment control	Wake on approach, walk away lock	Conference room occupancy	Gesture control

Residential

Security, environment control	Elderly monitoring	Baby monitoring	Gesture control

Retail and Hospitality

Environment control, Smart housekeeping	Signage efficiency	Gesture control

Capabilities

Motion detection	Proximity detection	People counting	Human activity recognition	Vital signs detection

Deterministic Operation Will Expand Wi-Fi to New Applications

Goal: Eliminate the long tail with a given reliability

- Cumulative Distribution Function (y-axis)
- Latency (x-axis)
- Predictable Latency Bound

Enablers
6 GHz
Multi-radio clients/ APs

Core Capabilities
QoS management

WiFi6: OFDMA, Triggered access

Wi-Fi7: MLO, 320 MHz, Restricted TWT

Deterministic Wi-Fi Enabling Tools

Wireless TSN
Time synch (.1AS)

Time-aware scheduling (.1Qbv)

Redundancy (.1CB)

Network
E2E wireless-wired integration
Management & scheduling

Expanded Peer-to-Peer Experiences & Technologies

Device Sharing Experiences

Resources (e.g., compute, screen, hotspot),
Data, notifications, etc...

Wireless Capabilities Required

Secure pairing, Low-latency,
Dual / Concurrent connectivity,
Ranging, and others...

Display Expansion
(Wireless display connection)

Inking / Stylus
(Companion inking device)

Camera
(Multi-camera / platform)

PC – Phone Collaboration
(Seamless, cross-device app usage)

6 GHz AFC (Automated Frequency Coordination) Enables Outdoor & Improved Indoor Connectivity

FCC Requests 6 GHz Automated Frequency Coordination Proposals

- Incumbent channel frequencies documented & protected
- Channel availability & permissible TX power communicated to 6 GHz Wi-Fi network inquiries
- 6 GHz outdoor Wi-Fi enabled
- Indoor 6 GHz Wi-Fi performance improved

https://www.fcc.gov/document/fcc-requests-6-ghz-automated-frequency-coordination-proposals

Wi-Fi Continues to Transform the Landscape

New Wi-Fi 7 innovations

- 320 MHz channels, multi-link operation, 4K-QAM, deterministic low latency

Wi-Fi 7 will build on Wi-Fi 6/6E

- Optimal use of the 6 GHz band, even lower latency, even higher throughput, even higher reliability, even more secure

Wi-Fi will become even better

- Video streaming, video/voice conferencing, wireless gaming, real-time collaboration, cloud/edge compute, industrial IoT, immersive AR/VR, interactive telemedicine, etc.
- OpenRoaming, Wi-Fi sensing, 6 GHz AFC and others

The future is bright for Wi-Fi!

intel.

Notices & Disclaimers

6 GHz Wi-Fi laptop functionality requires Wi-Fi 6E/7 modules, Wi-Fi 6E/7 APs/Routers/Gateways, Operating System support for 6 GHz operation, along with country-specific 6 GHz spectrum allocation for non-licensed use and associated regional regulatory approvals. 6 GHz may not be available in some countries.

All product plans and roadmaps are subject to change without notice.

Statements in this document that refer to future plans or expectations are forward-looking statements. These statements are based on current expectations and involve many risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in such statements. For more information on the factors that could cause actual results to differ materially, see our most recent earnings release and SEC filings at www.intc.com.

For additional details, please visit www.intel.com/performanceindex (Connectivity / Wireless / Wi-Fi)

Performance varies by use, configuration and other factors.

No product or component can be absolutely secure.

Intel technologies may require enabled hardware, software, operating system, or service activation.

Intel, the Intel logo, and other Intel marks are trademarks of Intel Corporation or its subsidiaries.

Other names and brands may be claimed as the property of others.

Copyright © Intel Corporation.

Sources for Slide 5

1. PLUME: People/Devices Average Active Online At Home during working hours Sep-2020 +73% vs. Jan-2020 (USA)

2. OPEN VAULT: Projected Avg HH BB usage per month Sep-2020 +40% YOY (OPEN VAULT = AMERICAS + EU)

3. NCTA: USA Sep-2020 peak US traffic +31% vs. March (more video conferencing)

4. Cisco, "Cisco Visual Networking Index: Global Mobile Data Traffic Forecast Update, 2017-2022". February 2019.

5. Pulse Security, "2020 Remote Work-From-Home Cybersecurity Report", May 2020.

6. Benton Institute for Broadband & Society, How Does the CARES Act Connect Us? April-2020.

7. 802.11ax 2x2 160MHz enables 2402Mbps maximum theoretical data rates, nearly 3x faster than standard 802.11ac 2x2 80MHz at 867Mbps (2402/867=2.8), and nearly 6x faster than baseline 1x1ac at 433Mbps (2402/433=5.6) Wi-Fi as documented in IEEE 802.11 wireless standard specifications and require the use of similarly configured 802.11ax wireless network routers.

8. Based on Intel simulation data of 802.11ax with and without OFDMA using 9 clients. Average latency without OFDM is 36ms, with OFDMA average latency is reduced to 7.6ms (1-(7.6/36) = 79%). Latency improvement requires that the AP and all clients support OFDMA.

9. This amendment defines standardized modifications to both the IEEE 802.11 physical layers (PHY) and the IEEE 802.11 Medium Access Control layer (MAC) that enable at least one mode of operation capable of supporting at least four times improvement in the average throughput per station (measured at the MAC data service access point) in a dense deployment scenario, while maintaining or improving the power efficiency per station. https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/14/11-14-0165-01-0hew-802-11-hew-sg-proposed-par.docx

10. Wi-Fi 7 320MHz enables ~46.1 Gbps maximum theoretical data rate, nearly 5x faster than Wi-Fi 6 160MHz at ~9.6 Gbps maximum theoretical data rate (46.1/9.6=4.8). Reported by Carlos Cordeiro, CTO Wireless Communication Solutions at Intel Corporation, [Webinar] Next Generation Wi-Fi: Wi-Fi 6, Wi-Fi 7 and Beyond", June 26, 2020.